

# **EXHIBIT T**

1           IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2           FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
3           EASTERN DIVISION

3           NATALIA VOLKOVA, individually     )  
4   and as Trustee,                                 )  
5   )  
5           Plaintiff,                                 ) Case No. 16 C 1883  
6   )  
6   -vs-   ) Chicago, Illinois  
7   ) July 25, 2019  
7   C.H. ROBINSON COMPANY, et al.,     ) 9:40 a.m.  
8   )  
8           Defendants.                                 )

9                                 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  
10           BEFORE THE HONORABLE RONALD A. GUZMAN

11   APPEARANCES:

12   For the Plaintiff:           MR. CHRISTOPHER MICHAEL NOREM  
13   Law Offices of Parente & Norem, P.C.  
14   221 North LaSalle Street  
15   27th Floor  
16   Chicago, IL 60601  
17   (312) 641-5926  
18   Cn@prinjurylaw.com

16   For the C.H. Robinson  
17   Defendants:                   MR. WILLIAM JOSEPH RYAN  
18   Scandaglia Ryan LLP  
19   55 East Monroe Street  
20   Suite 3440  
21   Chicago, IL 60603  
22   (312) 580-2020  
23   Wryan@scandagliaryan.com

21   Court Reporter:

22           KATHLEEN M. FENNELL, CSR, RPR, RMR, FCRR  
23           Official Court Reporter  
24           United States District Court  
25           219 South Dearborn Street, Suite 2504  
26           Chicago, Illinois 60604  
27           Telephone: (312) 435-5569  
28           Kathleen\_Fennell@ilnd.uscourts.gov

1 MR. NOREM: And I want to be clear, I'm not here  
2 advocating you grant the motion. I'm just trying to comply  
3 with the settlement agreement language.

4 THE COURT: This is wonderful. I've got a motion  
5 here that neither side is pushing. They're just presenting  
6 it.

7 I am going to deny the motion, folks. I don't think  
8 it's appropriate. I think this kind of motion discourages  
9 settlements before trial, which would have saved me months of  
10 work which I could have addressed to something else.

11 In addition to that, I think that this judgment that  
12 was entered by the jury, even though it's fact specific,  
13 carries some importance. I think it sends a message to the  
14 transportation industry, certainly sends a message to brokers,  
15 such as Robinson, and I think it has some effect and weight  
16 that way, and it may very well affect a change in some things.

17 So I think the public interest is against it.  
18 Certainly the Court's interest is against it, and I'm going to  
19 deny the motion.

20 Thank you.

21 MR. NOREM: Thank you, Judge.

22 MR. RYAN: Thank you for your consideration, Your  
23 Honor.

24 (Which were all the proceedings heard.)

25